

From: [Possiel, Norm](#)
To: [Palma, Elizabeth](#)
Subject: FOIA
Date: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 9:43:59 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Gregory Stella [<mailto:gms@alpinegeophysics.com>]
Sent: Friday, September 01, 2017 4:26 PM
To: Wayland, Richard <Wayland.Richard@epa.gov>
Cc: Eyth, Alison <Eyth.Alison@epa.gov>; Possiel, Norm <Possiel.Norm@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: 2011en / 2023en emissions transfer

Chet,

This is helpful. Thank you for all you have done for us to date.

Greg

On 9/1/2017 4:07 PM, Wayland, Richard wrote:

> Greg. The SA runs will of course lag the base 2023 runs and I believe there is still some debate over OSAT and APCA so while I know it may take you guys more effort, I am not sure I would wait on our SA runs if you guys want info related to that quickly. Even when we get ours done we will have to brief things up and as you know that often takes more time than the runs themselves.

>

> We will commit to getting you our 2023 emissions data as quickly as other possible but I am not sure I can give you a timeframe for the SA results just because of the other factors that are in play there that I can't control. Hope that make sense.

>

> Chet

>

> Richard A. "Chet" Wayland

> Sent from my iPhone

>

>> On Sep 1, 2017, at 2:03 PM, Gregory Stella <gms@alpinegeophysics.com> wrote:

>>

>> Alison / Norm / Chet,

>>

>> So one of the preliminary reasons that we were asked to prepare the updated 2023 modeling is because initially you all were unsure as to when this calendar year you would have revised 2023 modeling non-CPP platform available. Since your process has been expedited with the possibility for platform and results transfer in the next few weeks, do you have an estimated time frame for updated source apportionment runs using this updated platform? I would absolutely hate to start modeling the SAME platform as you if you all were planning to release the OSAT/APCA data very soon that would allow KY to determine their significance to downwind receptors.

>>

>> Anytime timelines that you could share with me to help determine if our proposed project has the same merit as it did before?

>>

>> Thanks in advance,

>>

>> Greg